

## EVALUATION

**City of Vancouver**  
**“Council of Councils Meeting - Advisory Committees”**  
**September 15, 2009**

1 = Poor      2 = Unsatisfactory      3 = Satisfactory      4 = Very Good      5 = Excellent

**Presentations:**

		1	2		3	4	5			
		Poor			Excellent					
1. How appropriate and well organized was the content of the presentations overall?					1		1	14	20	4
2. How appropriate were the delivery methods used overall?					<input type="checkbox"/>		3	16	20	2
3. Do you have specific comments about any one presentation, or the presentations overall?										

**SAMPLE COMMENTS:**

Not visible to everyone/poor visibility, hard to hear/poor acoustics;  
 Need more opportunity for interaction/collaboration between committees;  
 Great social trends information;

Overuse of powerpoint, should distribute material in advance/via email for visually impaired;  
 Presentations too long.

**Amenities:**

		1	2		3	4	5			
		Poor					Excellent			
4. How would you rate the Celebration Hall facilities?					7		6	12	11	7
5. How would you rate the food?					2		4	17	14	6
6. Do you have any specific comments about the facilities or food?										

**SAMPLE COMMENTS:**

Facility is morbid;  
 Tables too long to facilitate discussion, and acoustics “terrible”; no collaboration possible;  
 Unsustainable, environmentally unfriendly plastic dinner service;  
 Not enough breakout space or opportunity for groups to network;  
 Room much too small and noisy, lack of fresh air;  
 Chairs uncomfortable; Poor transit access;  
 Accessibility for people with disabilities extremely poor:  
 - total absence of signage

- lack of lighting in cemetery and mobility hazards;
- essentially inaccessible by bus;
- room too small - attendees using wheelchairs cramped, inappropriately located, forced to have backs to presentation, great difficulty twisting to see the visuals;
- room too small - dangerous trip risk for people with disabilities;
- acoustics very poor;
- visual access to presentations very poor - blocked, glare, small, not located so that all could see, wheelchairs segregated to one area only due to inaccessible, cramped space;
- room very hot and uncomfortable;

7. Do you have other comments or suggestions?

Should have introduced all the committee members;

More recognition of indigenous peoples needed;

Have more group interaction;

Round tables better;

Meet elsewhere;

The presentation on social indicators - very useful;

“Council of Council” meeting great idea to keep up with e.g. changes in Council priorities, etc.

***Thank you for your participation and feedback!***