First Shaughnessy Advisory Design Panel Minutes

May 02, 2024 Date 3:00 pm Time

Place

WebEx (Virtual Meeting)
Visit https://vancouver.ca/your-government/first-shaughnessy-advisory-design-panel.aspx for more details

				Present
Members	Kathy Reichert	Resident		\boxtimes
	Simon Feng	Resident		
	Robert MacKay-Dunn	Resident		\boxtimes
	Nicole Clement	Resident		\boxtimes
	Sarina Han	SHPOA		
	Richard Sirola	SHPOA		$\overline{\boxtimes}$
	Tak Chan	AIBC		
	Scott Mitchell	AIBC		$\overline{\boxtimes}$
	Phoenix Chan	BCSLA		$\overline{\boxtimes}$
	Kristin Defer	BCSLA		\boxtimes
Liaisons	Brian Montague	Councillor		П
	Mahdi Tavanpour	Staff		$\overline{\boxtimes}$
	Kasra Karimizadeh	Staff		
	Kathy Cermeno	Staff	Recording	
	May Sem	Staff	Recording	

ITEM(S) REVIEWED AT TH	IS MEETING	
1. 2050 W 16 th Ave		

1.Address: 2050 W 16th Ave

Review: First

Description: New Single Detached House & Garage

Applicant: Stephan Wiedemann, Wiedemann Architectural Design

Staff: Mahdi Tavanpour

EVALUATION: Support (7/0)

Planner's Introduction:

Mahdi Tavanpour, Development Planner, began the presentation by noting this application proposes development of a new Single Detached House with a detached accessory building (garage), providing three parking spaces, having vehicular access from the lane. The project generally adheres to the First Shaughnessy requirements and guidelines.

Mr. Tavanpour concluded with Staff questions for the Panel.

Advice from the Panel on this application is sought on the following:

- 1. Please comment on the success of the architectural and landscape design in relation to First Shaughnessy guidelines, including:
 - a.) design resolution of the architectural expression and detailing of the new Single Detached House and the proposed garage;
 - b.) the proposed landscape design treatment, including interface with adjacent properties and fencing.

Applicant's Introductory Comments:

Applicant Stephan Wiedemann, Architect for Wiedemann Architectural Design, noted the objectives and gave a general overview of the project followed by the landscape Architect presenting on the landscape design.

Applicant and staff took questions from Panel.

Panel's Consensus on Key Aspects Needing Improvement:

THAT the Panel Recommend **Support of** the project with the following commentary to be reviewed by City Staff:

Summary of Panel Commentary:

There was general support from the panel.

The panel noted it is a small site that is well managed. The project design and architectural details are well executed.

A panelist noted the treatment of the south side makes good use of the sun.

There was concern from the panel to reduce the paving and add more planting.

The panel noted to increase the understory planting on the north side and check the tree on the east north corner by an arborist before continuing with planting.

The panel noted concern with the color pallet and the building being too dark.

Applicant's Response: The applicant team thanked the panel for their comments.