

File No. 04-1000-20-2016-138

May 11, 2016

s.22(1)

Dear s.22(1)

Re: Request for Access to Records under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (the "Act")

I am responding to your request of April 21, 2016 for:

For April 21, 2016, the Mayor and Council Feedback Reports (source: 3-1-1, inperson, mail and <u>mayorandcouncil@vancouver.ca</u>), which includes, but is not limited to: the city branch notified, feedback type, requester name, phone, email, whether citizen requested a response, topic, case details, additional case details, and event notes.

All responsive records are attached. Some information in the records has been severed, (blacked out), under s.22(1) of the Act. You can read or download this section here: http://www.bclaws.ca/EPLibraries/bclaws_new/document/ID/freeside/96165_00

Under section 52 of the Act you may ask the Information & Privacy Commissioner to review any matter related to the City's response to your request. The Act allows you 30 business days from the date you receive this notice to request a review by writing to: Office of the Information & Privacy Commissioner, <u>info@oipc.bc.ca</u> or by phoning 250-387-5629.

If you request a review, please provide the Commissioner's office with: 1) the request number assigned to your request (#04-1000-20-2016-138); 2) a copy of this letter; 3) a copy of your original request for information sent to the City of Vancouver; and 4) detailed reasons or grounds on which you are seeking the review.

Please do not hesitate to contact the Freedom of Information Office at <u>foi@vancouver.ca</u> if you have any questions.

Yours truly,

Barbara J. Van Fraassen, BA Director, Access to Information City Clerk's Department, City of Vancouver Email: <u>Barbara.vanfraassen@vancouver.ca</u>

Encl.

:jb

Mayor and Council Feedback Report

Source: 3-1-1, in-person, mail and mayorandcouncil@vancouver.ca

Date range: April 21, 2016

City Branch	Feedback	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen	Topic	Case Details
also notified (if applicable)	Type (complaint, compliment,				Requested a Response		
Mayor & Council	opinion) opinion	s.22(1)			Yes	1550 West 29th Ave	Beautiful home being demolished. Cannot believe it.
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)		Yes	Abandoned Waste	Citizen submitted a requested for abandoned waste to be collected in the all requested for a follow up call from sanitation which he never received. He is the service of sanitation and would like to discuss this matter with the mayor
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	Yes	Climate Change/Kinder Morgan Expansion	Dear Mayor Robertson, Cc: Vancouver City Council Thank you so much for your statement quoted in this morning's Vancouver Sun (pg. A7 "Robertson rips Ottawa over climate policy") http://vancouversun.com/news/national/robertson-rips-pipeline-over-emiss "Environment and Climate Change Canada must consider both upstream and climate impacts to Trans Mountain's expansion to fully assess the significant environmental and public health hazards the project carries." Justin Trudeau has launched a charm offensive we haven't seen for years. Th made during the campaign re the NEB process and the promises he made in December, are fading from public consciousness. Some would forgive him an acceptable for a newly elected politician. But Climate Change is different. Canada must immediately make up for the c last 10 (or 20) years. If a rich country like Canada chooses not to keep its pro generations (reducing GHG's 30% below 2005 levels by 2030), then humanity There is no compromise: Canada's GHG goals are simply lies, if Kinder Morga approved. I will do every thing in my power to stop this pipeline, and to slow appreciate your courage. "The emperor has no clothes." Thanks for pointing spokesperson for BROKE (Burnaby Residents Opposing Kinder Morgan Expan

	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
	See attachment: <mark>s.22(1)</mark>
lley. He also s dissatisfied with or.	
ssions	
d downstream t safety,	
he promises he 1 Paris last ny hypocrisy, as	
climate sins of the omise to future ty's goose is cooked.	
an's TMEP is v Global Warming. I g that out. nsion)	

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	Yes	Greenspace	Good Morning, Please do not forward this to Kirsten Robinson in the Planning Dept. as I have already been in touch with her many times - this is something only you can change via rezoning; I will explain as follows: Ideally I would love for you to come here so you can see for yourself, what I am asking makes complete sense. If you cannot meet with me, please look us up on google earth and you will see our building with the front of our building facing Kent S and the west side of our building having yards which are an extension of Riverfront Park: HOWEVER, technically; the half of the current greenspace right beside our building is not part of Riverfront Park but is a building lot \$22(1) and the more West side of this greenspace is zoned as a Park. I am asking you to exercise your power and rezone the greenspace beside our Southampton building to "greenspace in perpetuity" in order to keep the open greenspace to a building lot where you can then relocate the daycare to. This makes much more sense. If you came here to physically take a look you would agree with me. There is more to explain and say but you really need be here looking at what I am speaking about in order truly understand. As a taxpayer & \$22(1) I am asking you, as our elected official & the only one with the power to help us, to come here and meet with me prior to the May 4th public consultation so that you can see for yourself. What is about to happen is completely unfair to all owners in Southampton. I do look forward to hearing back from you.	
Mayor & Council, Asst Dir. of Housing Policy	opinion	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	Yes	Hyper Development	Citizen is concerned over the "hyper development" of our City and making impossible scenarios." He wants to express the following points: -"Vancouver has grown way too fast"; He feels "nauseated by it all" -"The only thing that could stop the growth would be an earthquake or a serious economic downfall" -"The City is full of poorly conceived, small, and extremely expensive high-rises and condos" -"And what is affordable housing? Who is entitled to it?" -"Why is the City running a deficit?" -"Why is the City running a deficit?" -"It's completely insane" "The whole scenario is screwed up" "The world is owned by big huge corporations, like concrete and real-estate" -As well, the City is "plagued with parking meters"	

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	Yes	Mentally III	LACK OF BEDS FOR ILL OR MENTALLY ILL Of course I'm proud of our mayor's image of high standards in the world. Also, like the famous loved kings of past, he goes out in disguise to see the real truth after dark in our city. I discovered my s.22(1) Why? Because every day I was phoned by social workers, saying his bed was needed I saw for myself, at Medical Centre 715 W. 12th young confused children of single parents being ejected with no real oversight on their emergence. Usually they were back the day. The same at Venture 13 the & Main. All the money going to useless projects like last year's Robson St. Unnatural installation etc. could be applied to hospital beds and real work and oversight after release.	
Mayor & Council, Assoc Dir. of Revenue Srvs	complaint	s.22(1)		s.22(1)	Yes		land Averaging, we miss it by 1.03%! our building has been assessed at an increase of 30.9% from last year! we employ 30 people that 27 voted for you, are working for us?? I already pay \$20k on 5000 sqft and another 20k\$ on another building, that 40k!! now that is going to go through the roof, you are not working for us, who are you working for? other than more bike lanes, what have you done? 200 mil to take down the viaduct, don't you need that money for transit? we can no longer sustain doing business here, that's jobs, taxes and so on. we are very disappointed that you are going to make us move out of east Vancouver, the assessments are no where near fair and we can no longer pass these increases on to our customers! shame shame we can only hope there is a shift in power, the big question is you along with all other taxes take \$0.60 - yes that 60 cents of every dollar I earn goes to taxes, that leaves me with 40 cents! Greg, I make 200k a year! 120 goes to taxes. it can sustain at this level, it will never go down, will the city of Vancouver be happy with 100% tax rates? or will you ask for more? the election is not that far off, something has to be done! I still see 7 guys digging a hole!!	

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council, Asst Dir. of Dev Srvs	opinion	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	Yes	Life in a Construction Zone	Good Day: Like most everyone living in the City of Vancouver, I am accustomed to the constant redevelopment that seems to be a part of life here. However, that development comes with a price and I feel that I and the rest of us in my neighbourhood are paying an inequitable portion of that cost. I live in Cambie, specifically the area near Douglas Park; most of the original housing stock dates to the 1930's and 40's which means (especially with the City's apparent inability to preserve heritage houses) that when one of these houses is sold it is inevitably a "teardown", making way for new construction. In my immediate area that has happened frequently. At present there are three new houses being built within s.22(1) The noise and activity within construction hours is constant. That means there are 13 hours,6 days a week during which we are subjected to the relentless cacophony of power saws, delivery vehicles, backhoes, cement trucks, and the ever popular "rata-tat-tat" of pneumatic hammers. I am aware that City council is loathe to impinge on private property rights, which includes the	
							right to build a legal and approved domicile. However existing residents have rights as well, including the right to "quiet enjoyment". This latter right is presumably why the City has in place fairly strict noise bylaws. Yet I see no action on the part of the City to regulate the pace of construction. Across the street from my house(and next door to a new house just being completed) yet another 1940's bungalow has been sold within the last few weeks. That probably means that the rhythmic concert of construction will start up all over again. I am asking you Mr. Mayor and Council what plans if any you have to regulate the pace of this relentless work. Could you perhaps ask your Permits and Licensing department to delay the issuance of a building permit for a reasonable period when construction is under way or another project has been given the go ahead within the same block. I am asking the city to task its staff	

Good Day:Like most everyone living in the City of Vancouver, I am accustomed to the constant redevelopment that seems to be a part of life here. However, that development comes with a price and I feel that I and the rest of us in my neighbourhood are paying an inequitable portion of that cost. I live in Cambie, specifically the area near Douglas Park; most of the original housing stock dates to the 1930's and 40's which means (especially with the City's apparent inability to preserve heritage houses) that when one of these houses is sold it is inevitably a "teardown", making way for new construction. In my immediate area that has happened frequently. At present there are three new houses being built within ^{8.22(1)}

The noise and activity within construction hours is constant. That means there are 13 hours, 6 days a week during which we are subjected to the relentless cacophony of power saws, delivery vehicles, backhoes, cement trucks, and the ever popular "rata-tat-tat" of pneumatic hammers. I am aware that City council is loath to impinge on private property rights, which includes the right to build a legal and approved domicile. However existing residents have rights as well, including the right to "quiet enjoyment". This latter right is presumably why the City has in place fairly strict noise bylaws. Yet I see no action on the part of the City to regulate the pace of construction. Across the street from my house(and next door to a new house just being completed) yet another 1940's bungalow has been sold within the last few weeks. That probably means that the rhythmic concert of construction will start up all over again. I am asking you Mr. Mayor and Council what plans if any you have to regulate the pace of this relentless work. Could you perhaps ask your Permits and Licensing department to delay the issuance of a building permit for a reasonable period when construction is under way or another project has been given the go ahead within the same block. I am asking the city to task its staff to at least use their judgment to regulate the pace of construction to avoid multiple projects in close proximity to each other at the same time. I think it is a reasonable consideration for existing residents. I would appreciate it if a member of Council would take the time to contact me concerning this request, either by return email or at my home phone: 5.22(1)

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)		s.22(1)	Yes	Event	If there is no smoking in the park, there is no smoking in the park. Please explain how a small population of pot smokers can disrupt a park, used by many, for a whole day. Did the city make money from this? How was this allowed? Where is your transparent government? How have you changed as you promised you would do in your tearful apology to the people of Vancouver? How is it acceptable that you, yes YOU are allowing individuals to hijack the park and smoke illegal drugs - yes, pot is STILL illegal regardless of what you think - in a public park? Can cigar aficionados do it now? How about pipe enthusiastswhere does it end and what's appropriate. Whatever you think of pot is not the point. Others are affected by the smoke in - second hand smoke is just as bad from unfiltered marijuana cigarettes and perhaps more so. People are unable to use the beach and amenities that our taxes pay for. We don't pay to have a special interest group hijack the park. This is just so disappointing. You are a hypocrite and, as a previous supporter and defender of you, I am now not. Please do not run for another term as mayor. You have proved yourself open to special interest groups, have made clear your agent is not for the average citizen that is trying to make a living a do their best, and are only about yourself and your legacy. I cannot express my disappointment in you. Was that whole thing after the previous election just a grand plan to make you seem humble and willing to do betterbecause you're not, it seem, on either front.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)		Yes	Event	Citizen informed he was at the 420 event yesterday at Sunset beach and noticed the city closed all sources of water and sewage (including the Vancouver Aquatic Center), only giving access to portable toilets. He mentioned there were particularly no drinking fountains available. He would like a call back please.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)		s.22(1)	Yes	Event	As of 8:30 this morning, the noise level coming from the unsanctioned 4/20 event at the art gallery is ridiculously loud. I fail to understand how an unsanctioned for-profit event is allowed to cause such a disturbance for residents of the area, and especially the various office workers in the area (which is a significant number). I struggle to understand how an event that is breaking not only current Canadian law, but also local bylaws is allowed to negatively impact residents and other users for an entire day without any consequences. Why is this particular group being given preferential treatment over everyone else? This is negatively impacting people's ability to work, while the organizers are allowed to set up their unsanctioned for-profit event in a location that they have been told is not accessible to them?	

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)		Yes	Event	Caller is frustrated that garbage bins at Sunset beach were inaccessible on April 20th, says they were taped off and there was no alternative trash solution. Was on the beach around 1pm. Says everyone had to put garbage around bins. Says the public water taps were also shut off. Feels as though the City was trying to sabotage protest by not providing normal services. Let her know measures may have been taken for safety reasons and that Sanitation was committed to cleaning up beach after protest, but citizen still wanted to file feedback. Would like to hear reasoning behind measures taken.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)		s.22(1)	Yes	Event	Complaint about water being shut off for Sunset Beach Park during 420 Protest. states all water fountains were shut off. Concerned this goes against UN Convention and wants to know why this decision was made.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	Yes	Event	Citizen would like to file a complaint against the 420 event yesterday at Sunset beach. She informed that the City should not be responsible for the cost of the cleanup as it is taxpayers money. She heard that grass was damaged as well during the event. She is requesting a call back please.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	s.22(1)	Yes	Event	I am thoroughly disgusted with the "event" or rather very planned protest of the 4/20 that happened today. I feel the bylaws of a no smoking ban were not respected. Why even have this bylaw if it's not properly enforced. It could have easily been enforced, instead, city officials have chosen to ignore their own bylaw. This could indeed increase the revenue for the city of Vancouver. Do you just have the bylaw because it looks good on a sign or on paper because it makes the city look "good" to have it? Or, do you actually have the bylaw to protect individuals from second hand smoke. I live at 5.22(1) , am a taxpayer and feel my rights were not respected or health in regards to second hand smoke were not in place during this planned protest. I've had to close my windows all day and evening (despite this heat), because of the pot smoke in the vicinity and entering my building and suite. It also took 30 minutes just to exit the Granville street bridge because traffic was at a standstill. Officers guided me on how to enter down Pacific St and Howe, just so I could enter the alley just off Howe Street and Beach Avenue. Even the fireworks, a planned event, the traffic is not this congested, or the chaos not present. I am extremely disappointed this event has become a political power play with Parks Board, The City of Vancouver and the protestors. Who do you represent? The taxpayers, law abiding citizens or is this just another play in the political arena regarding Marijuana in the city and B.C.? Thank you.	

City Branch	Feedback	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/
also notified (if					Requested			Event Notes
applicable)	(complaint, compliment,				a Response			
	opinion)			s.22(1)				
Mayor &	opinion			5.22(1)	No		It seems the City of Vancouver bylaw does not allow the keeping of any fowl other than	
Council							chickens. It would be more progressive if the City could amend the bylaw to include Quails and	
				1	1		Ducks. Quails require about as much space as a guinea pig and consistently produce eggs for a	
							longer period of time than a chicken. Ducks are more resistant to disease, better foragers, more	
							productive egg layers, less intrusive of gardens, and more sociable than chickens.	
							Please amend the bylaw in order to allow more Vancouver residents access to a variety of pets	
							and greater food security.	
		5.22(1)	s.22	(1)				
Mayor &	opinion			· · ·	No	BC Real Estate	Tricks of the trade: Inside a B.C. real estate firm that has home sellers crying foul - Globe and	
Council						Firm	Mail	
		1						
			_				Hello Mayor and Council,	
				1	1		Please read the following article from the Globe and Mail relating to housing unaffordability in	
							Vancouver and unethical practices taking place:	
							http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/investigations/inside-a-fast-growing-bc-firm-that-has-	
							home-sellers-crying-foul/article29578417/	
							Here is another article:	
							http://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/british-columbia/bc-real-estate-firm-put-on-short-	
							leash-over-controversial-training/article29582286/	
Mayor &	opinion	Anonymous,			No	City Budget	He was reading up on the City budget. He says one way is to balance the budget is the reduce	
Council		2016 April					city staff wages and not reduce the amount staff and not to provide few services the reduce the	
							amount we pay for the services. He has dealt with city staff before and they are getting paid too	
			s.22(1)	1			much for the services that they provided.	
Mayor &	complaint				No	City Noise	I live at s.22(1) . Due to my occupation I go to bed quite late between 2 and 2 30 most	
Council							weeknights. Due to the cities noise and construction laws I have been unable to get a sufficient	
				1	1		sleep to maintain a satisfying quality of life. I'm constantly tired and rarely ever the ability to	
							catch up on sleep. Especially with the nice weather on Sundays bringing people out to garden I	
							just don't have an opportunity to get more that 5 hours a night. It doesn't matter how 'green'	
							and 'beautiful' a city is if your so tired you cant enjoy it.	
Mayor &	complaint	22(1)			No	Floating Billboard	Caller just want to voice his disagreement with return of the Floating Neon Billboard in False	
Council						-	Creek again. It's ugly and it doesn't belong to False Creek. People do not want to see it. Caller	
							understands it's not under City's Jurisdiction, but wants to voice his opinion. Caller said he will	
							call Transport Canada also.	
Mayor &	complaint		s.22	2(1)	No	Nelson Park	Nelson Park Please enforce the bylaw. Those of us who pay to create and maintain parks	
Council, Lead							shouldn't have to step over street scum every morning,	
Ranger Pk Bd								

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council, Deputy Chief Licence Inspector	opinion	Anonymous, 2016 April			No		Residents & Merchants of COV strongly oppose current situation of Weeds Glass & Gifts on 6657 Main St., Vancouver	See attachment: Petition, Residents & Merchants
Mayor & Council, Superintenden t of Parking Enforcement, Ops Supervisor		-s.22(1)			No	Parking Ticket	Caller received a parking ticket - ED75377 yesterday while he was parking in the lane behind his girl friend's building returning from Costco with bags of groceries. Caller indicated he has a s.22(1) so they were just parking there for less than 5 mins just to unload their stuffs. Caller spoke with the dispute officer, was told they don't look at any reasons behind it. They system is very unfair if we only look at whether people are in violations.	
Mayor & Council	opinion	Anonymous, 2016 April			No	Property Taxes	Citizen wanted to add his input for property taxes. They are way to high because he does not see that he's obtaining any reasonable balance for the cost of taxes. They are driving him out of his home so if the City is looking at affordability of living, one of the issues is property taxes.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)	-s.22(1)	1	No	Tree Removal	How dare you? The rules for everyone else are so strict and rightly so about not removing trees but it is ok for City Hall to do it. I don't think so.	
Mayor & Council	complaint ^s	.22(1)	s.22		No		http://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/british-columbia/vancouver-art-gallery-trees-1.3544150 Just completely A HUGE MISTAKE ! Those "old" trees were healthyand they could have been very well a PART of the new design. Such a mistake, Vancouver used to be very intolerant against people cutting trees, and now this It will be difficult now, to intervene for the city when people want to cut trees. Bad example. Oh, Gregor, where are you ? I voted for you and your team.	

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council	complaint				No		I was very sad to see in the news the pictures of the cutting down of many, beautiful mature and healthy trees in front of the Vancouver Art Gallery. I am aware that a new plaza is being created, but I do not believe the story put out that the trees were diseased. The plan for the new plaza shows new trees, but there was nothing wrong with the old trees. They have been a wonderful feature along Georgia and Hornby Streets for decades. Shame on those who made this terrible decision ! The beautiful old trees can never be replaced. If the City has no respect for these trees, how can home owners be expected to preserve their trees? Is it a question of do as I say and not as I do ?	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)		1	No		Caller was watching the 420 events yesterday across from the other side of water near the MacMillan Centre. He saw a barge with a big sign of Marc Emery. Caller thinks that this event is nothing but promoting business. Caller doesn't understand the point of having such an event every year.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	s.22(1)		s.22(1)	No		I live at ^{s.22(1)} and my apartment overlooks Sunset Beach. Over the years I have seen many events on the grass in front of my apartment. Yesterday's event was too loud and offensive. The event was way too big for the area. We had troubles getting home after work, there were not enough police to help us get our vehicle home. This morning there is a sea of garbage on the grass out front. I do support legalizing pot. However, I do not support an event such as yesterday's 4/20 whatsoever. Keep it classy Vancouver.	
Mayor & Council	complaint	.22(1) .22(1)			No	Event	If the city did not consent/sanction the 4 20 event then why wasn't more done to stop it? To have zero arrest during an illegal activity is mind blowing, it wasn't a protest it was a pure festival that wasted innocent peoples tax money. The city should do more to make it to the people that suffered from the Bull Shit of an event. It simple had negative impacts on citizens of the city of Vancouver. Perhaps City of Port Moody should indirectly host it as Vancouver has!	
Mayor & Council	complaint				No	Event	Caller is not complaing about the 420 event itself. Caller is complaing about the way we covered all the garbage bins and recycling bins with plastic bags and water fountains were also turned off during the event. This is an events with so many people. The public should have access to the both water and garbage bins.	

City Branch also notified (if applicable)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion)	Requestor Name	Phone s 22	E-mail	Citizen Requested a Response	Торіс	Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes
Mayor & Council	opinion	s.22(1)	5.22		No	Event	Mr. Bromley Please find attached my February 17, 2016 email to Mr. Sadhu Johnston and his cop-out of a response in regard to the above subject. Further to my email, I would like to add that the event is unsanctioned and unpermitted since the core activity involves the consumption of illegal drugs. There had been one other unpermitted event planned earlier this year where the Mayor and Council stepped in and told organizers and event goers not to show up under any circumstances, but Vision Vancouver Council majority somehow is not making any fuss with this unsanctioned and unpermitted event. Mr. Johnston does recognize that the 4/20 marijuana protest is "incredibly disruptive", "very challenging because of the scale of this event" and "increasingly unsafe", but concedes that, "it is their right to protest". I would like to add that the issue at hand involves a clash of rights: the right to protest versus the right to fell safe and the right to peace and quiet and enjoyment of our homes. What I take away from all this is that the rights of 20,000 plus stoned people trump the rights of thousands of ordinary citizens like myself.	

Service Requ	ervice Requests or Feedback Sent to OTHER DEPARTMENT for Action or Review												
Branch (if identified)	Feedback Type (complaint, compliment, opinion,		Phone	Email	Citizen Requested a Response		Case Details	Additional Case Details/ Event Notes					
	service request)												

Response or	Response or Action Update from OTHER DEPARTMENT							
City Branch	Feedback	Requestor Name	Phone	Email	Citizen	Topic	Case Details	Additional Case Details/
also notified (if	Туре				Requested			Event Notes
applicable)	(complaint,				a			
	compliment,				Response			
	opinion)				-			

From:	Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
To:	s.22(1)
Date:	4/18/2016 3:47:38 PM
Subject:	FW: 4/20 MARIJUANA EVENT AT SUNSET BEACH PARK
Attachments:	RE_ 4_20 MARIJUANA PROTEST.eml (13.3 KB).msg
	RE_ 2016 SUNSET BEACH EVENT LIST.eml (11.3 KB).msg

Dear

Thank you for your email regarding the 4/20 protest at Sunset Beach Park.

We share your valid concerns about using a park location for this type of activity and wish to reiterate that this is an unsanctioned, unpermitted event. 4/20 organizers are proceeding with the event despite objections from both the City and the Park Board which worked with the organizers to find an alternate location.

As with any unpermitted event or protest, the priority of the Park Board, City agencies and all partners will be the health and safety of all participants and members of the surrounding community.

Together with the Vancouver Police Department (VPD), the Park Board is communicating directly with residents adjacent to Sunset Beach Park to make them aware of the event. In addition, VPD, Vancouver Fire and Rescue, City and Park Board are assigning the appropriate resources and staff to Sunset Beach in order to mitigate the impact of the event on neighbours, local amenities and services.

The Park Board and its partners at the City and the VPD will be making every effort to prevent 4/20 organizers from coming back to the Park in 2017.

I trust this helps address your concerns.

Sincerely,

Vancouver Park Board 2099 Beach Avenue Vancouver, BC V6G 1Z4 <u>pbcomments@vancouver.ca</u> www.vancouverparks.ca

From:^{s.22(1)}

Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2016 6:38 PM
To: Vancouver Park Board
Cc: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office; Johnston, Sadhu
Subject: 4/20 MARIJUANA EVENT AT SUNSET BEACH PARK

Mr. Bromley

Please find attached my February 17, 2016 email to Mr. Sadhu Johnston and his cop-out of a response in regard to the above subject.

Further to my email, I would like to add that the event is unsanctioned and unpermitted since the core activity involves the consumption of illegal drugs. There had been one other unpermitted event planned earlier this year where the Mayor and Council stepped in and told organizers and event goers not to show up under any circumstances, but Vision Vancouver Council majority somehow is not making any fuss with this unsanctioned and unpermitted event.

Mr. Johnston does recognize that the 4/20 marijuana protest is "incredibly disruptive", "very challenging because of the scale of this event" and "increasingly unsafe", but concedes that, "it is their right to protest". I would like to add that the issue at hand involves a clash of rights: the right to protest versus the right to fell safe and the right to peace and quiet and enjoyment of our homes. What I take away from all this is that the rights of 20,000 plus stoned people trump the rights of thousands of ordinary citizens like myself.

Sincerely

From:	<u> "Rieberger, Dave" <dave.rieberger@vancouver.ca></dave.rieberger@vancouver.ca></u>
To:	s.22(1)
Date:	2/22/2016 3:02:37 PM
Subject:	RE: 2016 SUNSET BEACH EVENT LIST

Hi

s.22(1)

My apologies for the delay, here is the list requested.

Feb. 14	First Half, Half Marathon (road closures)
April 17	Sun Run (road closures)
May 1	BMO Marathon (road closures)
June 11	Stiletto-Strut (event in Sunset)
June 26	Scotiabank Half Marathon (road closures)
July 18 & 19	Vikings Swim Meet (event in Sunset)
July 23, 27, & 30	Celebration of Light (event in Sunset, road closures)
July 31	Vancouver Pride Festival and Parade (event in Sunset, road closures)
August 13	Seawheeze Half Marathon (road closures)
August 20	Cavalier Cup (event in Sunset)
Sept. 25	Scotiabank AIDS Walk for Life (event in Sunset)
October 23	Rock n Roll Half Marathon (road clsoures)

Regards,

Dave

Dave Rieberger

Event Liaison, Special Events & Filming | Vancouver Board of Parks and Recreation 2099 Beach Avenue | Vancouver | BC | V6G 1Z4 P: 604-257-8498 | F: 604-257-8501 | www.vancouver.ca

P please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. Vancouver's Parks and Beaches are SMOKE-FREE! For more information, please visit: <u>http://vancouver.ca/your-government/park-board-smoking-regulation-bylaw.aspx</u>

From:^{s.22(1)}

Sent: Monday, February 22, 2016 11:51 AM To: Rieberger, Dave Subject: 2016 SUNSET BEACH EVENT LIST

Hello Dave

I have yet to receive the Sunset Beach event list for 2016 from you which you promised to forward by end of Tuesday, February 16, 2016. Please ensure that the list includes all the events, such as road races, which will effect road closures on Beach/Pacific Streets.

Thanking you in advance

Greetings Ms. Gidden-

Thanks for your note.

The city of vancouver has had challenges with this protest for years. It's not a city sponsored or supported event. We recognize their legal right to protest, but have had challenges with the scale of this event. Our emergency management staff have had significant concerns with it at VAG site location. We proposed multiple city sites that they move to. Sunset beach was not one of the sites we proposed to them. They didn't like any of the sites that we suggested and instead chose to go to Sunset beach.

As we have done each year, we will do what we can to minimize the negative impacts of this event taking place.

I don't imagine that this helps address your concerns, but I hope at least it helps to shed some light on the challenging position that we've found ourselves in for years...

Best

Sadhu

Sadhu A. Johnston, LEED AP Acting City Manager City of Vancouver

sadhu.johnston@vancouver.ca o. 604.873.7627 twitter: sadhuajohnston www.vancouver.ca

From:^{s.22(1)}

Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2016 2:28 PM To: Johnston, Sadhu Cc: Kirby-Yung, Sarah; Rieberger, Dave Subject: 4/20 MARIJUANA PROTEST

Mr. Johnston

As a owner/resident residing directly above Sunset Beach, I feel I can no longer suffer in silence in regard to the ongoing unacceptable noise due to the numerous events held at Sunset Beach since 2008

The Vancouver Park Board established and made it official in April 1986 to designate and to continue to regulate three Vancouver beaches as "quiet beaches". These three are Locarno, Spanish Banks and Sunset Beaches. This policy had been respected until 2008. 2008 is the year a Vision dominated Park Board took control.

I was appalled, but not surprised after reading newspaper articles on February 16, that in your opinion, " the 4/20 marijuana protest's move to Sunset Beach is a safer option than it's traditional home outside the Vancouver Art Gallery". You continue to state that: "The 4/20 protest event has outgrown its current location at the VAG, become incredibly disruptive and increasingly unsafe". With an estimated 20,000 marijuana enthusiasts participating in the gathering each April 20, an event the City finds "disruptive and increasingly unsafe", how can Sunset Beach be a " better alternative"?

Sunset Beach is a residential area and, therefore, received the "Quiet Beach" designation as a show of respect to area residents from the Park Board under the Boards "good neighbour" policy.

I met with Mr. Dave Rieberger, Film and Events Officer for the Vancouver Boards of Parks and Recreation on February 12, 2016 in regard to the numerous events held yearly in Sunset Beach. At that time, I briefly discussed the negative effect all these extremely noisy and disruptive events have on my health and that of my neighbours and questioned the reasoning why Sunset Beach is the location of choice. I also requested a complete list of events planned for 2016 for the Sunset Beach location He promised to provide me with a complete list of 2016 events and road closures planned for the area by February 17, 2016.

In the meantime, I sincerely hope and urge the City of Vancouver and Board of Parks and Recreation to resign themselves to find an alternative location to Sunset Beach for the 4/20 marijuana protest.

s.22(1)

Mayor Gregor Robinson and Council

Vancouver City Hall

453 West 12th Avenue

Vancouver, B. C. V5Y 1V4

Dear Mayor Robinson and Council,

Re: 1550 West 29th Avenue in Vancouver

I simply cannot believe this!! Another stunningly beautiful home [in Shaughnessy, no less] is going to be demolished. Why on earth is this being allowed, and why haven't you put legislation in place to stop it? There are many examples of real craftsmanship in the older character homes that are not found in the new home being built today. Can you imagine what is being carted off, truckload after truckload, to the landfill? Beautiful fireplace surrounds, staircases, wainscoting, crown moldings, inlaid hardwood flooring, built-in cabinetry and, in many cases, leaded glass and stained glass windows. In my opinion, it is just criminal what is happening to Vancouver. Tell me... why are we recycling? The citizenry is busy diligently separating paper, newsprint, plastic and glass into the appropriate receptacles, and garden and food waste into the green bins, yet beautiful heritage-style homes are dumped in the landfill. WHY?

Yours sincerely,

s.22(1)



NICK PROCAYLO / PNG

Jeff Moore, who lived in this unique heritage home in Vancouver, decries that the 94-year-old structure, along with its 1920s handiwork by talented tradespeople, is slated for demolition to make way for a new, bigger house.

We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, **strongly oppose** the current situation of **Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.**

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes *against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools.*

Signature



We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, strongly oppose the current situation of Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes *against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools*.



s.22(1)

We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, strongly oppose the current situation of Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools.



s.22(1)





We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, strongly oppose the current situation of Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools.

Signature

Address

s.22(1)

We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, strongly oppose the current situation of Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools.

Signature

s.22(1)	
5.22(1)	

We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, strongly oppose the current situation of Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools.



We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, strongly oppose the current situation of Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes *against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools.*



s.22(1)

We, the undersigned, residents and merchants of the city of Vancouver, **strongly oppose** the current situation of **Weeds Glass and Gifts on 6657 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C.**

Many children attend classes at the UCMAS Math Centre, located on 6669 Main Street, Vancouver, B.C. Immediately adjacent to the marijuana shop (on its north side) is a dance studio also for young school children. And across the street is the well-used Sunset Community Centre for families with children and elderly members.

Having a marijuana outlet in such close proximity to where children congregate is unhealthy and dangerous, not the least of which because of the clientele that frequent such establishments.

Despite various efforts, the aforementioned weeds shop is still allowed to operate. This definitely goes *against the bylaw that explicitly states that such operations are not allowed to operate within 300 metres of community Centres or schools.*

_
_
-
_
-
-
_