

From: "Johnston, Sadhu" <Sadhu.Johnston@vancouver.ca>

To: "Direct to Mayor and Council - DL" <CCDTMACDL@vancouver.ca>

Date: 6/28/2017 10:49:55 AM

Subject: Empty Homes Tax

Attachments: FRS PDS - Memo to MC - Empty Homes Tax - 28-06-2017.pdf

Dear Mayor and Council,

Please see attached memo from Patrice Impey, GM - Finance, Risk & Supply Chain Management and Gil Kelley, GM - Planning, Urban Design & Sustainability, in response to an enquiry from Councillor Carr for your information.

Attached to the memo is a summary of the types of responses the City has been providing to questions from the owners of second homes.

Please feel free to reach out to Patrice Impey or Gil Kelley if you require additional information.

Best
Sadhu

Sadhu Aufochs Johnston | City Manager
City of Vancouver | 453 W 12th Avenue
Vancouver | BC V5Y 1V4
604.873.7627 | Sadhu.johnston@vancouver.ca
Twitter: sadhuajohnston



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message and any accompanying documents contain confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose. This message is private and protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying or distribution, or the taking of any action based on the contents of this information, is strictly prohibited.



FINANCE, RISK AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT
General Manager's Office
PLANNING, URBAN DESIGN & SUSTAINABILITY
General Manager's Office

MEMORANDUM

June 28, 2017

TO: Mayor and Council

CC: Sadhu Johnston, City Manager
Paul Mochrie, Deputy City Manager
Janice MacKenzie, City Clerk
Lynda Graves, Manager, Administration Services, City Manager's Office
Rena Kendall-Craden, Director, Communications
Kevin Quinlan, Chief of Staff, Mayor's Office
Katie Robb, Director, Communications, Mayor's Office
Naveen Girn, Director of Community Relations, Mayor's Office
Matthew Bourke, Planner, PDS

FROM: Patrice Impey, General Manager, Finance, Risk and Supply Chain Management
Gil Kelley, General Manager, Planning, Urban Design & Sustainability

SUBJECT: Empty Homes Tax

Dear Mayor and Council,

The purpose of this memo is to respond to an enquiry from Councillor Carr regarding questions received from owners of second homes about the Empty Homes Tax (EHT). The staff team responsible for implementation of the tax has received a significant volume of feedback from owners of second homes since the implementation of the tax (~30% of 311 interactions about the EHT). This memo and the attached document provide Mayor and Council with a summary of the types of responses the City has been providing to questions from the owners of second homes.

In November 2016, Council approved a Vacancy Tax By-law that did not exempt owners of Non-Principal Residences. As noted in the September 20, 2016 and November 16, 2016 reports to Council (see <http://vancouver.ca/home-property-development/why-an-empty-homes-tax.aspx>), careful consideration was given to the potential impact of the EHT on the owners of Non-Principal Residences. However, due to the challenge of demonstrating and verifying duration of occupancy, the risk of falsification of evidence, and the administrative burden for both the City, in regards to audit and enforcement, and for property owners, staff concluded that exempting Non-Principal Residences would seriously jeopardize the success of the

City of Vancouver, Finance, Risk and Business Planning
453 West 12th Avenue
Vancouver, British Columbia V5Y 1V4 Canada
tel: 3-1-1, Outside Vancouver 604.873.7000
website: vancouver.ca



program. This conclusion was informed by advice from external experts in tax program design and administration as well as advice from local and international subject matter experts.

Owners of Non-Principal Residences, who have contacted the City with questions, have been provided information that summarize the goals of the Empty Homes Tax and address specific questions and concerns. An example of these “questions and answers” is attached for Council information. Staff will continue to provide owners of Non-Principal Residences with this information and will coordinate with Digital Services to ensure that this information is made available on the City’s website.

Should you receive questions from owners of Non-Principal Residences, you may direct them to the EHT website for information at <http://vancouver.ca/home-property-development/empty-homes-tax.aspx>, or to 311 who will handle any specific questions.

Please feel free to contact us if you require additional information.

Sincerely,



Patrice Impey
General Manager, Finance, Risk and Supply Chain Management
(T) 604.873.7610
(E) patrice.impey@vancouver.ca



Gil Kelley, FAICP
General Manager, Planning, Urban Design & Sustainability
(T) 604.873.7456
(E) gil.kelley@vancouver.ca

Sample questions and answers regarding second home owners.

- 1. If an owner lives in his/her Vancouver home for over 180 days, but not as a “principal resident” is that person exempt from having to rent, or pay the tax?**

No: in this circumstance, an owner would not be exempt from having to either rent out the property or pay the tax.

The Empty Homes Tax is intended to make empty and under-used homes available for rent to people who live and work in Vancouver. A second home that is used by the owner, or his/her family members or guests, but is not a principal residence and is not occupied by a tenant or subtenant for at least six months of the year (in periods of 30 or more consecutive days), is considered vacant and subject to the tax unless a specific exemption applies. Please see below for the definition of “principal residence” for the purposes of the by-law.

- 2. If the property is not the owner’s principal residence, must it be rented for 180 days to avoid the tax regardless of how many days it is “occupied?”**

Yes. Unless an exemption applies, if the property is not the principal residence of an owner, his/her family member, or friend, then it must be rented out for at least six months of the applicable tax year (in periods of 30 or more consecutive days) to avoid attracting the tax.

- 3. Because of the short time and rapid roll out of this bylaw and very limited time for owners to find renters, and after considering the feedback from residents, is the City considering postponing or phasing in the implementation of this bylaw, amending it, or tabling it?**

The Vacancy Tax By-law was enacted on November 1, 2016 and the first tax year commenced on January 1, 2017. The City is not intending to table, postpone, or phase implementation of the by-law and all owners should make decisions based on its current requirements.

The minimum six-month rental requirement enables owners to leave their properties unoccupied for up to 180 days each year in order to find a tenant or occupier, or to make improvements to the property between occupancy periods. As such, owners have until July 1, 2017 (seven-and-a-half months from by-law enactment) to find a tenant. Given the extremely low rental vacancy rate in Vancouver, it is unlikely that owners will have difficulty finding a tenant in that period; therefore, there is no exemption for property that is unoccupied solely because it is being listed for rent.

- 4. Does the City of Vancouver have requirements or schedules as to what rent amounts I must charge my tenant?**

No: the Vacancy Tax By-law does not specify rental rates. Owners are encouraged to reduce the asking rental cost until the unit is rented, as they will not be exempt from the tax on the basis of being unable to find a tenant.

- 5. Are tenants required to be principal residents?**

No: if a property is rented, it must be occupied by a tenant or subtenant for at least six months of the year (in periods of 30 or more consecutive days), but the property is not required to be the principal residence of that tenant or subtenant. This allows rentals for non-consecutive periods of at least 30 days, such as a fixed-term rental to someone who is renovating their home or who has temporary work in Vancouver.

If an owner declares that their property was rented out for at least six months of the applicable tax year, they must be able to provide information or evidence that demonstrates that the property was

actually occupied by a tenant or subtenant. False declarations will result in fines of up to \$10,000 per day of the continuing offense, in addition to payment of the tax.

6. This question is about “Vancouver Principal Residence” (VPR) as used in the bylaw.

If an owner has already claimed a Canadian Revenue Agency Principal Residence (CRA-PR) elsewhere, can this person still claim a Vancouver Principal Residence (VPR) under the bylaw? That is, are CRA-PR and VPR mutually exclusive from the City’s perspective? And, does a legal CRA-PR elsewhere in Canada for any part of the year disqualify an owner or a couple from making this/her/their Vancouver home a Vancouver Principal Residence as defined in the bylaw?

The Vacancy Tax By-law defines “principal residence” as: “... the usual place where an individual lives, makes his or her home and conducts his or her daily affairs, including, without limitation, paying bills and receiving mail, and is generally the residential address used on documentation related to billing, identification, taxation and insurance purposes, including, without limitation, income tax returns, Medical Services Plan documentation, driver’s licenses, personal identification, vehicle registration and utility bills.”

Therefore, according to this definition, a property owner has only one principal residence at any given time, and this is a factual question that the City may audit.

Questions regarding CRA-PR should be discussed with the owner’s accountant or lawyer.

7. There is a lot of confusion about the various meanings of “principal residence” as used by the province Home Owner Grant (HOG), by Canada Revenue Agency and by the Vacancy Tax Bylaw.

Can a married or common law couple in various circumstances, relationships, and living arrangements be allowed by the bylaw:

- a) Two Vancouver Principal Residences (as defined in the bylaw)?*
- b) One HOG defined PR elsewhere in BC and one VPR?*
- c) One CRA defined PR and a VPR?*
- d) One PR elsewhere in the world and a VPR?*
- e) If a couple owns 2 homes - one in Vancouver and one elsewhere in Canada under terms of this bylaw can one person continue to call their Manitoba home a CRA principal residence , for example, while the other makes their Vancouver home as a Vancouver Principal Residence (VPR)?*

The definition of “principal residence” in the Vacancy Tax By-law is specific to the by-law and is not the same as the definition used by the province or CRA for the purposes of the HOG or federal taxes, respectively. As noted above, owners should direct questions concerning the programs of other jurisdictions to their accountant or lawyer.

However, it was noted to Council in considering the by-law that spouses (whether married or common law) can have different principal residences for the purposes of the Vacancy Tax By-law so long as that is where each “individual lives, makes his or her home and conducts his or her daily affairs.”

If spouses declare different principal residences, they must be able to provide information or evidence that demonstrates that each property was used in accordance with the by-law’s definition for at least six months of the applicable tax year. False declarations will result in fines of up to \$10,000 per day of the continuing offense, in addition to payment of the tax.

8. Terminology Questions:

A) Obviously truly vacant homes will be subject to the tax, but is it possible that a home that is actually occupied continuously by the resident owner and/or his family members be considered “unoccupied” and “vacant”?

Residential property is considered to be unoccupied in the following circumstances:

(a) the residential property is not the principal residence of an occupier; or

(b) the residential property is not occupied by a tenant or subtenant for a term of at least 30 consecutive days.

If the residential property was unoccupied for more than 180 days during the applicable tax year, it will be considered vacant and the tax will apply unless an exemption applies.

B) Does the City of Vancouver take the position that a home with real occupants, that are non “principal residents” but is occupied by the owner and his/her family members continuously, be construed to be “vacant” when the owner or relatives - real people, are living in it?

As noted above, if a property does not qualify for an exemption, it will be considered vacant and subject to the tax. In this case, the owner may choose to:

- ~ Become a landlord by renting the property for at least six months of the year, in periods of 30 or more consecutive days;*
- ~ Enlist a property management firm to rent the property for the required duration, or consider a lease with a company (e.g. a business whose employees work periodically in Vancouver) that can sublease to individuals who will occupy the home for at least six 30-day periods;*
- ~ Invite a family member or friend to occupy the property as their principal residence for at least six months of the year;*
- ~ Occupy the property as their own principal residence for at least six months of the year;*
- ~ Keep the property as-is and pay the tax; or*
- ~ Sell the property.*

The responses set out in this document are for informational purposes only and are not intended as advice or a determination of whether a property will be subject to the Empty Homes Tax. If there is any discrepancy between the information provided here and the provisions of the Vacancy Tax Bylaw, the latter will prevail.