



Mount Pleasant

City of Vancouver
Community
Plan Implementation

Mount Pleasant MPIC Meeting

Thursday, September 12, 2013

Mount Pleasant Community Centre

1 Kingsway

7:00 PM to 9:00 PM

MEETING NOTES

Attendees: Stephen Bohus, Brendan Caron, Grace Mackenzie, Alyssa Myshok, Danielle Peacock, Leona Rothney, Michelle Sturino, Lewis Villegas, David Paterson (COV), Joyce Uyesugi (COV), Harv Weidner (COV).

Participant Observers: Randy Chatterjee, Don Gardener.

Regrets: Stuart Alcock, Lucas Berube, Kay Macintosh, Jocelyne Hamel.

Notes for COV: David Paterson

Notes for MPIC Motions: Lewis Villegas

Meeting start time: 7:05 pm

1. Outlining Goals for the Meeting (Harv Weidner)

- Would like to review the document sent out by city staff to MPIC members September 10th/11th: Mount Pleasant Community Plan Implementation 90% Draft. (Draft includes 100% of content finalized to 90%).
- Proposal to also devote some time to measure the room/coordinate on the responses supplied by MPIC members by August 31st response deadline.
- Joyce received about 10 individual letters or sets of comments.
- Two nights with lots of MPIC and community engagement went into documented comments on the June Open House boards.
 - There is general support that this document is supported by the MPIC.
- Many of the MPIC comments on the boards requested greater clarity and the Implementation Package strives to address these points, especially with regard to specific heights and densities. Through the “What the Plan Says” headings, the document tries to correspond clearly with the Community Plan. The Council Report will note where the MPIC has expressed concern over certain issues (e.g. height).
- Will letters be part of the report to Council? We were told they needed to be in by August 30th to be in the report, so we assumed they would be there.
 - Standard process is not to attach letters to reports to council.
 - Those letters have been received by council.

- Is it a part of the terms of reference that the letters/individual opinions are included in the report to council?
- Suggested best way to communicate with Council is to form a consensus opinion plus minority opinions.
- MPIC is a pilot project so this can be a starting precedent for supplying individual letters to Council and the Public.

2. Motions put forward by MPIC (Notes: Lewis Villegas)

MOTION (Moved by Lewis):

THAT the letters from MPIC members NOTED below be included in the Staff report to Council.

1. Stuart
2. Danielle
3. Michelle
4. Grace
5. Leona
6. Brendan
7. Stephen

Seconded by: BRENDAN; Favour: 8. UNANIMOUS.

MOTION (Moved by Lewis):

A request THAT staff respond to each point detailed in the "Detail Review of the Draft Panels shown at the June 2013 Open House" (1-17 pages; annotated in a pdf file) and the accompanying 28 page document submitted by Stephen Bohus on behalf of the group.

Seconded by: Brendan; Favour: 7 Opposed: 0 Abstained: 1. CONSENSUS.

MOTION (Moved by Lewis):

THAT the "Detail Review of the Draft Panels shown at the June 2013 Open House" (1-17 pages; annotated in a pdf file) and the accompanying 28 page document submitted by Stephen Bohus on behalf of the group be included in the staff report as a majority report from MPIC members.

Seconded by: Brendan; Favour: 7 Opposed: 0 Abstained: 0. UNANIMOUS.

Discussion: Danielle stresses that her vote reflects agreement in principle, but just not with every detail.

MOTION (Moved by Lewis):

THAT "The Mount Pleasant Charrette Plan" submitted by Lewis N. Villegas on behalf of the group be included in the staff report as a majority report from MPIC members.

Seconded by: Brendan; Favour: 7 Opposed: 0 Abstained: 0. UNANIMOUS.

Discussion: Grace, "I agree with it in principle, not in detail."

MOTION (Moved by Lewis):

THAT the letters we measured in the room tonight; the "Detail Review of the Draft Panels shown at the June 2013 Open House" (1-17 pages; annotated in a pdf file) and the accompanying 28 page document submitted by Stephen Bohus on behalf of the group; and "The Mount Pleasant Charrette Plan" submitted by Lewis N. Villegas on behalf of the group; be included in the Staff Report as a separate stand alone appendix; and section be written in the staff report stating the MPIC position.

Seconded by: Michelle; Favour: 7 Opposed: 0; Abstained: 0. UNANIMOUS.

Noted: TOR 3.8: in the case that staff recommendations differ staff will provide a rationale for the difference.

MOTION (Moved by Steve):

THAT All attachments to MPIC letters should also be included.

Seconded by: Lewis; Favour: 7 Opposed: 0; Abstained: 0. UNANIMOUS.

MOTION (Moved by Brendan)

THAT the MPIC be given two weeks (14 days) from the date of receipt of the written staff comments & feedback to the "Detail Review of the Draft Panels shown at the June 2013 Open House" (1-17 pages; annotated in a pdf file) and the accompanying 28 page document submitted by Stephen Bohus on behalf of the group, to respond to the DRAFT Sept 10 2013 Mount Pleasant Community Plan Implementation document.

Seconded by: Leona; Favour: 7 Opposed: 0 Abstained: 0. UNANIMOUS.

Meeting Adjourned

(9:10 pm end)