## 1075 Nelson St development application comments

## SURVEY RESPONSE REPORT

18 February 2020 - 31 January 2022

PROJECT NAME: 1075 Nelson St (DP-2021-00589) development application



1075 Nelson St development application comments : Survey Report for 18 February 2020 to 31 January 2022

Q1 Your comments:	
Screen Name Redacted	It is excellent to see more sustainable buildings being approved and developed, particularly with a rental component attached.
Screen Name Redacted	Nice building. Should be a bit taller. Vancouver needs some iconic skyscrapers. The skyline impedes on only part of the entire mountain range. View cones from arbitrary places are hindering the architecture downtown. Taller buildings also have the benefit of more housing which is sorely needed.
Screen Name Redacted	I am writing in to voice my support of this development proposal. I am a long term resident of the neighbourhood and welcome this proposal. The overall design, height and use makes perfect sense in that area. I look forward to seeing it enhance and strengthen the Vancouver skyline. Thank you.
Screen Name Redacted	A visually appealing addition to the neighbourhood with a good mix of uses. Encouraging to see a passive house on the horizon.
Screen Name Redacted	It's nice to see some larger apartment buildings added to the downtown core. We need as many apartment units as possible these days. Even though these condos won't be affordable to most, it's understandable given the location.
Screen Name Redacted	I support this project. Will add a lot more housing and visual interest to the area.
Screen Name Redacted	I am in favour of the development in general. However, I think that this development needs a higher proportion of rental units. There is already an abundance of luxury condos being built in the area, with many more in the pipeline. But there are very few new rental projects, with the majority of rental options in the area being older buildings. More rental units are definitely needed, especially considering that much of this area's population cannot afford to purchase a condo.
Screen Name Redacted	This development is exciting, being the tallest passive house in the City (if not elsewhere). The specialty curtain wall is more efficient and will reduce insulation needs. The fact that the building also has non-

	market rentals will further improve the housing crunch in the City. From a design perspective, the tower is unique, whimsical and plays nicely off the Butterfly nearby. The DRP is so out of touch and their concerns that this building will adversely impact the livability of the West End and *stargazing* are ludicrous. The fact that the building looks more like an office building is a pleasant divergence from the tired, blue/green clad condo design. Ultimately, as proposed, Passive House will improve the cityscape, the skyline, provide new homes for a range of socio-economic background in a City with a dire need of housing. Adding more months of revisions to this is really irresponsible given the predicament. The planning process here is broken and has growth in a chokehold.
Screen Name Redacted	Please let this project go ahead, it fits with our cities goals and priorities by allowing lots of people to live close to jobs in a environmentally sustainable way.
Screen Name Redacted 1/20/2022 03:15 PM	This is a fantastic building, that should be approved immediately. It improves the immediate surroundings and addresses Vancouver's desperate need for new housing. My only criticism is that the building is too small. The recent comments by the Urban Design Panel were completely unproductive and demonstrated a lack of knowledge about urban design and housing. I suggest the city abolish the Urban Design Panel. It is a broken institution that reduces the quality and supply of new housing.
Screen Name Redacted 1/21/2022 12:06 PM	It's ridiculous how long it takes for buildings in this city to get approved. This project has been in the works since 2015. Build it already. Vancouver has a severe housing shortage as it is. Considering someone's complaint all the way out in Gibsons worrying about the lighting is comical. On a side note, arbitrary view cones need to be greatly reduced or abolished. Stop prioritizing random places to see a specific mountain peak at the expense of more housing and good architecture. There's plenty of mountains to look at regardless of where someone is in this city.
Screen Name Redacted	This is a poor building design. It does not complement the neighboring butterfly project. It is a boring. The building façade looks cold and unfriendly. There is no relationship to the adjacent Washington court heritage building. Needs more color and grace to earn such large densities and height. Shading on Nelson park on SUMMER SOLITICE is not ok.

1075 Nelson St development application comments : Survey Report for 18 February 2020 to 31 January 2022

Screen Name Redacted 1/25/2022 10:47 AM

I fully support this building and the sustainable innovations in building it has. I also think the design really enhances the skyline.

Mandatory Question (13 response(s)) Question type: Essay Question



Question type: Dropdown Question