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Consultation Overview

- As a first step in the capital planning process, the City asked the public to help us identify city-wide priorities for long-term maintenance and investment.
- Between April and April 30, 2018, we asked: “How would you rate city facilities and infrastructure in Vancouver? What do you think are the most important areas to invest in over the next 10 years?

How did we reach out?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outreach activity</th>
<th>Participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highlighted news on vancouver.ca</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Social media posts:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook – 2 posts, 14 engagements, 3,431 impressions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twitter – 7 posts, 56 engagements, 34,078 impressions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid social media posts – 200,000 reach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email invitations shared through networks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talk Vancouver member outreach (over 15000 members)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultation activity</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online Questionnaire April</td>
<td>2293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three day open house storefront April 13, 14 &amp; 15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder meetings April 23</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What did we hear?
For current investment priorities (the City of Today), participants identified:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top five important city infrastructure assets</th>
<th>Top five city infrastructure assets rated in poor or very poor condition (i.e. may need investment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waterworks</td>
<td>Housing for Homeless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewers</td>
<td>Purpose Built Rental Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks</td>
<td>Social Housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Areas</td>
<td>Local Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Halls</td>
<td>Childcare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding support allocating more funding to the renewal of aging infrastructure and amenities?

For investment priorities over the next 10 years (building the City of Tomorrow), participants identified:

More funding for aging infrastructure

| Support: 91% |
| Oppose: 3% |
| Need more info: 5% |

Top three priorities for investment

| Affordable Housing |
| Rapid Transit |
| Childcare |
Summary of Feedback at Events
**APPROACH**

- The first phase of consultation is intended to identify public and stakeholder priorities now and in future. City staff are in regular conversation with residents and stakeholders about capital investments - both for new and existing infrastructure - through a variety of processes.

- These processes can include community planning, Park Board park and facilities planning, and ongoing engineering initiatives including transportation, streets and parking.

- The focused Capital Plan process offers a number of opportunities for staff to take a temperature check on community experiences of infrastructure / facilities and stakeholder knowledge of current and future community needs.

- Public input is one form of data, alongside technical, economic and policy direction that help forge the quarterly Capital Plan.
PHASES OF CONSULTATION

• The first phase of consultation, which ran throughout April 2018, sought to broadly identify priorities and to probe into specific areas of interest by stakeholder groups. A survey coupled with a three-day storefront open house and an invitational stakeholder meeting surfaced high-level themes, and emerging priorities. This information was used to help shape a draft capital plan.

• The second phase of consultation, which will run from mid-May until June 2018, will test public, business and stakeholder responses to the draft capital plan. This consultation will include more opportunities to comment via open houses at Vancouver Public Library, 6-8 focused meetings with stakeholder groups, particularly those who may experience barriers to participation. It will also involve quantitative and qualitative research with a third party opinion research firm that will probe responses to the draft plan among representative resident and business audiences.

SHIFT FROM LAST CAPITAL PLAN

• The team continues to explore improvements to the engagement process. We doubled the number of touchpoints in this first phase from the last plan, and will continue to improve the breadth and depth of our dialogues with community.
Consultation Overview

- Three full days of public outreach were held April 13, 14, and 15 at the City’s Storefront space at 511 Broadway. Staff from multiple departments were on hand to answer questions, encourage visitors to fill out surveys and provide detailed feedback. We also promoted a facilitated presentation and dialogue for stakeholders, as well as open hours for those who could not attend during regular work hours.

Who came?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultation activity</th>
<th>Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Three day storefront: April 13 - 15</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholder meeting / open house April 23rd</strong></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attendance: City advisory committees (Seniors, Persons with Disabilities), DTES Neighbourhood House, Cedar Cottage Neighbourhood House, YWCA, 311 Seniors Centre, Peer-Net, Ray-Cam Community Centre Association

Main themes heard
- Invest in infrastructure for not for profit partners to amplify delivery of major services to residents-leverage shared resources
- Improve investment in support for seniors and access to affordable and available childcare
- Invest in accessibility to support current population and to address the needs of rapidly aging population- long-term thinking
- Improve housing accessibility - ensure equality for people with disabilities
- Invest in well-used community facilities that are experiencing high-demand with increasing density
Questionnaire Topline Results
The questionnaire ran from April 12 to April 30, 2018.

A total of 2293 respondents completed the questionnaire. The questionnaire was accessed in the following ways:

- Direct invitation to Talk Vancouver panel members.
- Open invitation to non-members via the Capital Planning project page on the City of Vancouver website.

Who did we hear from?

- Almost three-quarters (71%) of respondents were between the ages of 30 and 64 (an almost equal split of 33% aged 30-44 and 38% aged 45-64).
- There is a fairly even gender split - 47% male and 46% female (with other identities or non-disclosure making up the remainder).
- One-third of respondents (27%) have children in their household.
- More than half of respondents (55%) are homeowners, while 38% rent.

Is the questionnaire sample representative of Vancouver’s population?

- The table on the following slide illustrates how the demographic characteristics compare to those of the population of Vancouver according to the most recent Statistics Canada data available.
### Profile of Respondents

#### Geographic Zone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic group</th>
<th>City of Vancouver</th>
<th>Questionnaire respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downtown and West End</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro Vancouver</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Gender:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic group</th>
<th>City of Vancouver</th>
<th>Questionnaire respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/None of the above</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic group</th>
<th>City of Vancouver</th>
<th>Questionnaire respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-29</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-44</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and over</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographic group</td>
<td>City of Vancouver</td>
<td>Questionnaire respondents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children in household</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Home ownership:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeowners</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renters</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following community facilities?

- **Purpose-built rental housing (i.e. apartment buildings built expressly for rental accommodation)**
  - Very important: 65%
  - Somewhat important: 25%
  - Not very important: 6%
  - Not at all important: 3%
  - Not sure: *1%

- **Non-market rental (social and cooperative) housing**
  - Very important: 57%
  - Somewhat important: 29%
  - Not very important: 8%
  - Not at all important: 5%
  - Not sure: *1%

- **Housing targeted to address homelessness: shelters, SROs and supportive housing**
  - Very important: 58%
  - Somewhat important: 27%
  - Not very important: 8%
  - Not at all important: 6%
  - Not sure: *1%

Base: All respondents (n=2293)
1% or less not labelled
Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the community facilities that you know:

- **Housing targeted to address homelessness: shelters, SROs and supportive housing**
  - Excellent: 2%
  - Good: 1%
  - Fair: 21%
  - Poor: 26%
  - Very poor: 20%
  - Not Sure: 20%

- **Non-market rental (social and cooperative) housing**
  - Excellent: 3%
  - Good: 16%
  - Fair: 29%
  - Poor: 20%
  - Very poor: 12%
  - Not Sure: 20%

- **Purpose-built rental housing (i.e. apartment buildings built expressly for rental accommodation)**
  - Excellent: 3%
  - Good: 16%
  - Fair: 25%
  - Poor: 22%
  - Very poor: 15%
  - Not Sure: 19%

Base: All respondents (n=2293)

* 1% or less not labelled
Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following community facilities?

- **Community centres**: 69% Very important, 27% Somewhat important, 3% Not very important
- **Libraries**: 60% Very important, 30% Somewhat important, 7% Not very important
- **Childcare facilities**: 62% Very important, 27% Somewhat important, 5% Not very important
- **Pools and rinks**: 45% Very important, 44% Somewhat important, 10% Not very important
- **Cultural facilities, e.g. theatres and museums**: 46% Very important, 41% Somewhat important, 9% Not very important

Base: All respondents (n=2293)

* 1% or less not labelled
Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the community facilities that you know:

- **Community Centres**
  - Excellent: 12%
  - Good: 51%
  - Fair: 26%
  - Poor: 5%
  - Very poor: 4%
  - Not Sure: 4%

- **Libraries**
  - Excellent: 18%
  - Good: 52%
  - Fair: 24%
  - Poor: 3%
  - Very poor: 3%
  - Not Sure: 13%

- **Childcare facilities**
  - Excellent: 4%
  - Good: 18%
  - Fair: 23%
  - Poor: 11%
  - Very poor: 4%
  - Not Sure: 41%

- **Pools and rinks**
  - Excellent: 8%
  - Good: 39%
  - Fair: 29%
  - Poor: 7%
  - Very poor: 3%
  - Not Sure: 14%

- **Cultural facilities, e.g. theatres and museums**
  - Excellent: 10%
  - Good: 46%
  - Fair: 27%
  - Poor: 7%
  - Very poor: 2%
  - Not Sure: 8%

Base: All respondents (n=2293)

* 1% or less not labelled
City of Today: Importance of Park Facilities

Base: All respondents (n=2293)
* 1% or less not labelled

Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following park facilities?
Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the park features that you know:

- **Seawall and trails**
  - Excellent: 33%
  - Good: 53%
  - Fair: 11%
  - Poor: 4%
  - Very poor: 4%
  - Not Sure: 3%

- **Natural areas, e.g. forest and ponds**
  - Excellent: 20%
  - Good: 52%
  - Fair: 20%
  - Poor: 4%
  - Very poor: 3%
  - Not Sure: 4%

- **Children’s playgrounds**
  - Excellent: 13%
  - Good: 42%
  - Fair: 23%
  - Poor: 5%
  - Very poor: 16%
  - Not Sure: 16%

- **Sport facilities, e.g. soccer and basketball**
  - Excellent: 11%
  - Good: 42%
  - Fair: 22%
  - Poor: 4%
  - Very poor: 20%
  - Not Sure: 20%

Base: All respondents (n=2293)
* 1% or less not labelled
City of Today: Importance of Transportation Facilities

Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following transportation networks managed by the City?

- **Sidewalks and walking paths**: 84% Very important, 14% Not sure
- **Bus stops & shelters**: 60% Very important, 33% Somewhat important, 6% Not sure
- **Local roads**: 49% Very important, 40% Somewhat important, 8% Not sure, 2% Not at all important
- **Major roads**: 61% Very important, 28% Somewhat important, 7% Not sure, 2% Not at all important
- **Bikeways**: 41% Very important, 31% Somewhat important, 17% Not very important, 10% Not at all important

Base: All respondents (n=2293)

* 1% or less not labelled
Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the transportation networks that you know:

- **Sidewalks and walking paths**
  - Excellent: 14%
  - Good: 50%
  - Fair: 27%
  - Poor: 7%
  - Very poor: 2%
  - Not Sure: 2%

- **Bus stops & shelters**
  - Buses and SkyTrain are managed by TransLink
  - Excellent: 6%
  - Good: 42%
  - Fair: 37%
  - Poor: 9%
  - Very poor: 3%
  - Not Sure: 3%

- **Local roads**
  - Excellent: 6%
  - Good: 34%
  - Fair: 37%
  - Poor: 14%
  - Very poor: 7%
  - Not Sure: 3%

- **Major roads**
  - Excellent: 10%
  - Good: 48%
  - Fair: 27%
  - Poor: 7%
  - Very poor: 5%
  - Not Sure: 4%

- **Bikeways**
  - Excellent: 32%
  - Good: 42%
  - Fair: 16%
  - Poor: 3%
  - Very poor: 6%
  - Not Sure: 6%

**Base:** All respondents (n=2293)
* 1% or less not labelled
Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of these other city infrastructure assets?
Base: All respondents (n=2293)

* 1% or less not labelled

Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the city assets below:
City of Today: Support Allocation of More Funding to Aging Infrastructure and Amenities

To what extent do you support allocating more funding to the renewal of aging infrastructure and amenities?

- Strongly support: 60%
- Somewhat support: 31%
- Somewhat oppose: 2%
- Strongly oppose: 2%
- Need more information: 5%

Base: All respondents (n=2293)
Thinking about Vancouver’s needs in 10 years, how would you invest in the areas below? Would you invest more, less or about the same when compared to today:

- **Rapid Transit**
  - Substantially more than today: 54%
  - Somewhat more than today: 29%
  - About the same as today: 14%
  - Somewhat less than today: 15%
  - Substantially less than today: 17%

- **Affordable Housing**
  - Substantially more than today: 58%
  - Somewhat more than today: 19%
  - About the same as today: 14%
  - Somewhat less than today: 3%
  - Substantially less than today: 15%

- **Childcare**
  - Substantially more than today: 35%
  - Somewhat more than today: 34%
  - About the same as today: 24%
  - Somewhat less than today: 4%
  - Substantially less than today: 3%

- **Community Facilities**
  - Substantially more than today: 16%
  - Somewhat more than today: 35%
  - About the same as today: 46%
  - Somewhat less than today: 3%

- **Parks**
  - Substantially more than today: 15%
  - Somewhat more than today: 32%
  - About the same as today: 49%
  - Somewhat less than today: 3%

- **Public Safety**
  - Substantially more than today: 15%
  - Somewhat more than today: 30%
  - About the same as today: 47%
  - Somewhat less than today: 5%

- **Walking & Cycling**
  - Substantially more than today: 17%
  - Somewhat more than today: 27%
  - About the same as today: 38%
  - Somewhat less than today: 10%
  - Substantially less than today: 8%

*Base: All respondents (n=2293)*

*1% or less not labelled*
Overall Assessment: Affordable Housing

Base: All respondents (n=2293)

Assessment
% of respondents rating infrastructure as “excellent” or “good”

Importance
% of respondents rating infrastructure as “very” or “somewhat” important

Housing targeted to address homelessness
Purpose-built rental housing
Non-market rental
Overall Assessment: Community Facilities

Base: All respondents (n=2293)

- Childcare facilities
- Cultural facilities
- Community centres
- Libraries
- Pools and rinks

Assessment
% of respondents rating infrastructure as “excellent” or “good”

Importance
% of respondents rating infrastructure as “very” or “somewhat” important
Overall Assessment: Parks

Base: All respondents (n=2293)

% of respondents rating infrastructure as “excellent” or “good”

Importance
% of respondents rating infrastructure as “very” or “somewhat” important

Assessment: Children’s playgrounds, Natural areas, Seawall and trails
Overall Assessment: Transportation

% of respondents rating infrastructure as “excellent” or “good”

% of respondents rating infrastructure as “very” or “somewhat” important

Base: All respondents (n=2293)
Overall Assessment: Infrastructure

Assessment
% of respondents rating infrastructure as “excellent” or “good”

Importance
% of respondents rating infrastructure as “very” or “somewhat” important

Base: All respondents (n=2293)
BUILDING THE CITY OF TODAY AND TOMORROW

Long-term Capital Planning

#VanCap2018
Help us build the City of Today and Tomorrow...

A lot of the capital planning work for the next 10 years has been informed by long-term plans like the Greenest City Action Plan, Healthy City Strategy, Housing Strategy, and Transportation 2040, to name a few.

... but we’d like to check in with you

There are two parts you can help us with:

Infrastructure renewal (City of Today):

What’s your assessment of the current condition of the City’s facilities and infrastructure?

New facilities & infrastructure (City of Tomorrow):

What do you think are the most important areas to invest in over the next 10 years?
**What is Capital Planning?**

The City offers many of the basic services that you use on a regular basis – parks, community facilities, streets and sidewalks. Some you use every day (e.g. water from your faucet) and some you hope never to use but are glad they are available when you need them (e.g. fire department).

All these services require ‘bricks & mortar’ in order to function (buildings, underground pipes, asphalt and concrete).

Capital planning is about ensuring we keep our facilities and infrastructure functional so that they are available when you need them. Long-term capital planning is looking to see what our needs will be in 10 years. Which of our existing facilities and infrastructure need special attention? What new facilities and infrastructure do we need to build to serve us well in 10 years?

**What’s important in long-term planning?**

In building the City of Today we need to sustain core services that are valued and relied on by citizens and businesses, such as:

- clean water
- an efficient transportation network
- proactive emergency and social services
- access to nature and recreation

We are building the City of Tomorrow by advancing long-term priorities so that Vancouver remains one of the best places in the world to live. These include:

- **People:** Ensuring affordable and sustainable housing; and a safe, inclusive and creative community
- **Prosperity:** Sustaining a thriving, diverse economy
- **Environment:** Protecting and enhancing Vancouver’s natural resources

---

**To successfully build the City of Today and Tomorrow, we must sustain financial health by:**

- providing good value for property taxes and user fees, while meeting the priority needs of the community over the long term
- maintaining a long track record of good fiscal management
- developing strategic partnerships
Affordable Housing

The City’s goals are to end street homelessness and provide more affordable housing choices for all residents across communities.

Housing Continuum: Total of 284,000 Units

- **Shelters**: 1,000 beds
- **SROs**: 4,400 rooms
- **Supportive Housing**: 4,600 units
- **Non-market Rental (Social Housing)**: 21,000 units
- **Purpose-built Rental**: 58,000 units
- **Suites & Laneway Houses**: 33,400 units
- **Rented Condos**: 34,500 units
- **Condos**: 58,700 units
- **Other Ownership**: 68,600 units

Ending Homelessness: 10,000 beds/rooms/units, 3% of housing inventory

Rental Housing: 147,000 units, 52% of housing inventory

Ownership: 127,000 units, 45% of housing inventory

Number of Homeless in the City

Vancouver homeless population trends 2005 to 2016

Incomes and Rents

Incomes have not kept pace with rents

Source: Census 2001 and 2016, Statistics Canada and CMHC Rental Market Survey

*Note: Data adjusted for inflation

Age Profile of Non-Market Rental Housing

Age Profile of Purpose-built Rental Housing

CITY OF VANCOUVER
Affordable Housing
The City’s goals are to end street homelessness and provide more affordable housing choices for all residents across communities.

Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following types of affordable housing?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing type</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat Important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not important at all</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing targeted to address homelessness: shelters, SROs and supportive housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-market rental (social and cooperative) housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose-built rental housing (i.e. apartment buildings built expressly for rental accommodation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the affordable housing that you know:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment level</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing targeted to address homelessness: shelters, SROs and supportive housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-market rental (social and cooperative) housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purpose-built rental housing (i.e. apartment buildings built expressly for rental accommodation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Community Facilities
Community facilities offer a wide range of services and programs to Vancouver’s diverse population. Their service mandates range from neighbourhood-wide to city-wide. They are operated by both City and partner organizations.

WHAT WE HAVE TODAY...

- 66 Child Care Facilities
- 59 Cultural & Entertainment Facilities
- 41 Social Facilities
- 55 Recreation Facilities
- 22 Libraries & Archives

Existing Community Facilities
- Childcare
- Social
- Recreation
- Library/archives
- Cultural
- Entertainment & exhibition
Community Facilities

Community facilities offer a wide range of services and programs to Vancouver’s diverse population. Their service mandates range from neighbourhood-wide to city-wide. They are operated by both City and partner organizations.

Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following community facilities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not important at all</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Childcare facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community centres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools and rinks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural facilities, e.g. theatres and museums</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the community facilities that you know:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Childcare facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libraries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community centres</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pools and rinks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural facilities, e.g. theatres and museums</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parks

Parks and open spaces provide opportunities for residents and visitors to interact with nature, participate in leisure or recreational activities and celebrate community and civic life.

WHAT WE HAVE TODAY...

- **215,000 TREES**
- **240 PARKS REPRESENTING 1,370 HECTARES**
- **32 KM OF SEAWALL**
- **1 CEMETERY**

Existing Parks & Open Spaces
- Parks & Open Spaces
- Seawall & Beaches
The City of Today

**Parks**

Parks and open spaces provide opportunities for residents and visitors to interact with nature, participate in leisure or recreational activities and celebrate community and civic life.

- **Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following features in parks?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not important at all</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seawall and trails</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural areas, e.g. forest and pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport facilities, e.g. soccer and basketball</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s playgrounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the park features that you know?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seawall and trails</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural areas, e.g. forest and pond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sport facilities, e.g. soccer and basketball</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s playgrounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transportation networks offer a range of travel choices to residents, workers and visitors across the city.

WHAT WE HAVE TODAY...

- 2,165 KM OF SIDEWALKS
- 45 BRIDGES AND UNDERPASSES
- 320 KM OF BIKEWAYS & GREENWAYS
- 358 KM OF ARTERIAL ROADS
- 1,708 KM OF LOCAL ROADS AND LANES
- 887 TRAFFIC SIGNALS
- 44,000 STREET LIGHTS

Existing Transportation Networks
- Rapid Transit (TransLink)
- Bikeways
**Transportation**

Transportation networks offer a range of travel choices to residents, workers and visitors across the city.

### Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of the following transportation networks managed by the City?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not important at all</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks and walking paths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bikeways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus stops and shelters*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major roads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local roads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Buses and SkyTrain are managed by TransLink

### Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the transportation networks that you know:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalks and walking paths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bikeways</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus stops and shelters*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major roads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local roads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Buses and SkyTrain are managed by TransLink
City Infrastructure
City infrastructure also includes a number of things that you don’t see every day.

WHAT WE HAVE TODAY...

12 ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDINGS
21 SERVICE YARDS
3 POLICE STATIONS
400+ SPECIALIZED SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS
3,600km OF WATER AND SEWER PIPES
1,750 VEHICLES
19 FIRE HALLS
**City Infrastructure**

City infrastructure also includes a number of things that you don't see every day.

---

**Thinking about the larger community, how would you rate the importance of these other city infrastructure assets?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Somewhat important</th>
<th>Not very important</th>
<th>Not important at all</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police stations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire halls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water pipes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer pipes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Hall buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Thinking about their current physical condition and function, what is your overall assessment of the city assets below:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Very poor</th>
<th>Not sure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Police stations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire halls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water pipes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer pipes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Hall buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Aging Infrastructure

The City has a large inventory of critical infrastructure and amenities, such as fire halls, community centres and sewer pipes. Many of these are aging and in need of renewal.

A key goal of the City’s long-term capital strategy is to keep our critical infrastructure and amenities in good condition.

Asset renewal and upgrades are generally funded through property taxes and utility/user fees, supplemented by development contributions and senior government funding where appropriate.

To what extent do you support allocating more funding to the renewal of aging infrastructure and amenities?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly support</th>
<th>Somewhat support</th>
<th>Somewhat oppose</th>
<th>Strongly oppose</th>
<th>Need more information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**IN THE LAST 10 YEARS...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
<td>About 4,000 additional units of non-market rental housing and supportive housing have been provided by the City and partner agencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>About 2,500 additional units of purpose-built rental housing have been provided by the private sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childcare</td>
<td>About 3,000 additional licensed childcare spaces have been created by the City, School Board and other providers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td>About 9 hectares of new park have been added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plus 9 children’s playgrounds, 5 synthetic turf soccer fields, 4 basketball courts and 2 skateboard parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community facilities</td>
<td>22 new community facilities have been added and 13 others have been expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and cycling</td>
<td>About 15 km of new sidewalk, 80 km of new bikeways and 60 new pedestrian-bike signals were added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid transit (TransLink)</td>
<td>The Canada Line opened with 10 km and 9 stations in Vancouver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety</td>
<td>3 police facilities and 2 fire halls were renewed and expanded.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE CITY OF TOMORROW

VANCOUVER’S POPULATION GROWS BY ABOUT 5,000 RESIDENTS EVERY YEAR. OUR LIMITED LAND BASE AND GROWING POPULATION HAVE GIVEN RISE TO UNIQUE ISSUES RELATED TO **AFFORDABILITY, LIVABILITY AND MOBILITY.**

Thinking about Vancouver’s needs in 10 years, how would you invest in the areas below? Would you invest more, less or about the same when compared to today:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Substantially more than today</th>
<th>Somewhat more than today</th>
<th>About the same as today</th>
<th>Somewhat less than today</th>
<th>Substantially less than today</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Affordable housing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childcare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and cycling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid transit (TransLink)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public safety (police/fire)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thanks for your feedback today!

It will help us as we put together the City of Vancouver’s 10-year Capital Strategic Outlook and the Draft 4-year Capital Plan.

A follow-up round of public consultation will be organized to gather feedback on the Draft Capital Plan this spring.

STAY TUNED!

If there is anything else you wanted to make sure we heard and didn’t get a chance to note, please use the comment form below.

WE LOVE TO HEAR YOUR IDEAS!