



2013 CEW ANNUAL REPORT

Instructions and Information

Information and data being collected covers events occurring within the calendar year of 2013

Agency	VANCOUVER CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT
---------------	----------------------------------

Agency Contact Information	PC 1755 Dan Pain CEW Co-Ordinator 15(1)
-----------------------------------	--

22(1)	Standards and Evaluation Unit, Police Services Division, Ministry of Justice 405-815 Hornby Street, Vancouver BC V6Z 2E6
22(1)	

AGENCY PROCESSES

1	Number of CEWs (X26 models) currently held by your agency:	175	
2	Number of officers from your agency currently certified in the use of CEWs:	94	
3	Does your agency issue each CEW to one officer only (not a 'pool')? Yes/No.	YES	
4	Were officers' SBOR (subject behaviour-officer-response) reports completed appropriately and fully subsequent to use of a CEW? (this applies to discharge and display incidents). Yes/No	YES	
5	Have all CEWs had an administrative data download for the year? Yes/No	YES	
6	Have all CEWs older than 2 years been tested for the year and test results recorded as per BCPPS 1.3.5? Yes/No	YES	
7	Does your agency maintain an up-to-date inventory of CEW and cartridges? Yes/No	YES	
8	a	Does your agency have secure storage? Yes/No	YES
	b	Does your agency have a sign-out process? Yes/No	YES

CEW USAGE

9	Number of incidents where a CEW was displayed but NOT discharged at a subject:	38	
10	Total number of incidents where a CEW was discharged at a subject (include all modes whether or not deployment was successful):	6	
	a	Number of incidents where a CEW was discharged at a subject in PROBE MODE ONLY (whether or not deployment was successful):	6
	b	Number of incidents where a CEW was discharged at a subject in CONTACT STUN MODE ONLY (whether or not deployment was successful):	0
	c	Number of incidents where a CEW was discharged at a subject in both PROBE and CONTACT STUN MODES (whether or not deployment was successful):	0

Discharges

AGENCY VANCOUVER CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

CEW USE						
11	a	In how many incidents where a CEW was discharged, was it effective in gaining subject compliance?		4		
	b	In how many incidents where a CEW was discharged, was it ineffective in gaining subject compliance?		2		
12	Age and sex of subjects - complete this table:			Age	Gender	
					Males	Females
				Under 12		
				12 to 15		
				16 to 18		
				19 to 29	3	
				30 to 59	3	
				60 to 69		
		70+				
13	How many subjects were believed to be under the influence of alcohol or drugs?			3		
14	How many subjects were perceived to be emotionally disturbed?			5		
15	a	How many subjects were believed to be in possession of a weapon?		4		
	b	For those subjects believed to be in possession of a weapon, what weapon(s) were they believed to be in possession of?	Type of Weapon	# of subjects		
			Edged weapon	3		
			Impact weapon	0		
			Firearm	0		
			Multiple weapon types including a firearm	0		
			Multiple weapon types without a firearm	0		
Other type of weapon	1					
16	a	In how many incidents were multiple cycles used?		0		
	b	For those incidents with multiple cycles, how many cycles were used?	In how many incidents were 2 cycles used?	0		
			In how many incidents were 3 cycles used?	0		
			In how many incidents were 4 cycles used?	0		
			In how many incidents were 5 or more cycles used?	0		
17	How many subjects were handcuffed when the CEW was discharged?			0		
18	How many discharge incidents were medically high-risk ?			5		
19	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in only ONE or TWO incident(s) in 2013?			6		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in THREE or FOUR incidents in 2013?			0		
	How many CEW operators discharged their CEW in FIVE or MORE incidents in 2013?			0		

Injuries and Review

AGENCY VANCOUVER CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT

The following questions are for DISCHARGE INCIDENTS ONLY

For the purposes of this survey, a non-trivial injury is one which necessitates medical attention for a condition likely to interfere with the health or comfort of the person and is more than merely transient in nature. Examples of non-trivial injuries include: lacerations, broken bones/fractures, concussions etc.

INJURIES TO SUBJECTS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS

20	a	In how many incidents did CEW probes break the skin of subjects?	3
	b	Aside from probes breaking the skin, how many subjects suffered non-trivial injuries as a result of a CEW discharge?	0
	c	Did any subjects die proximate to a CEW discharge?	0
	d	If yes, how many subjects died proximate to a CEW discharge?	0

INJURIES TO OFFICERS DURING CEW DISCHARGE INCIDENTS

21	a	How many officers suffered non-trivial injuries during CEW discharge incidents?	1
----	---	---	---

The following section deals with the consistency of each CEW discharge with the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS). To assist you in assessing compliance, and in answering question 22, we have provided a list of related BCPPS sections on tab 4/page 4. You can use this list as a checklist for your own internal processing.

REVIEW OF CEW INCIDENTS AND REPORTING

22	a	Were there any CEW discharge incidents that were inconsistent with any section of the BC Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS)? Refer to the checklist on tab 4/page 4 to determine consistency of each CEW discharge incident with BCPPS. Yes/No	NO
	b	If yes, how many incidents were inconsistent with any section of the BCPPS?	
	c	If you answered yes to question 22a, please list which section(s) of the BCPPS were not followed:	
23	a	If you answered yes to question 22a, was any follow up action taken for the inconsistent uses?	
	b	If yes, use this space to identify any follow up action taken:	

TRAINING AND POLICY ISSUES

24	Over the course of 2013, were there any training or policy or other issues identified either for the agency as a whole or for individual officers? If yes, please briefly describe them here:		
	N/A		

BCPPS Checklist

AGENCY	
---------------	--

CHECKLIST OF REVIEW FOR EACH OPERATIONAL CEW DISCHARGE

The following checklist is derived from the British Columbia Provincial Policing Standards (BCPPS) and is provided for your internal use. Use the checklist to determine the consistency of each CEW discharge with the BCPPS. **Record the total number of CEW uses that were inconsistent as a response to question 22 on tab 3.**

Threshold and circumstances of use

BCPPS		Y/N
s. 1.3.1(1)	Imminent bodily harm/causing bodily harm.	
s. 1.3.1(2a)	De-escalation attempted or inappropriate.	
s. 1.3.1(2b)	Lesser force options ineffective or inappropriate.	
s. 1.3.1(3)	No multiple cycles unless additional cycle is necessary to eliminate imminent bodily harm.	
s. 1.3.1(4a)	Verbal warning given (unless compromises safety).	
s. 1.3.1(4b)	Not discharged near flammable material.	
s. 1.3.1(4c)	Not discharged where danger of fall from elevated height.	
s. 1.3.1(4d)	Not discharged where person in water in danger of drowning.	
s. 1.3.1(4e)	Not discharged on person operating vehicle/machinery in motion.	
s. 1.3.1(4f)	Not more than one CEW discharged simultaneously.	
s. 1.3.1(4g)	Avoid head, neck, genitalia.	

Additional requirement

s. 1.3.4(5)	If medically high-risk discharge, paramedics called.	
-------------	--	--

Post discharge actions

s. 1.3.3(6a)	Use-of-force report (SBOR) completed appropriately.	
s. 1.3.3(6)	CEW removed from service and operational download completed.	
s. 1.3.3(5)	Download report linked to use-of-force report.	
s. 1.3.5(l), 1.3.3(5d)	If serious injury or death, CEW taken out of service and sent for testing.	